

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,640	12/15/2003	Bruno de Lignieres	029488-0111	9061
22428 7590 03/12/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER .	
			RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI	
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wildim	.,, 2 0 2000		1617	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/12/2007 PAPER		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

······	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,640	LIGNIERES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umamaheswari Ramachandran	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De						
· <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective because:

- 1) It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.
- 2) It does not identify the citizenship of each inventor.
- 3) It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

The oath does not identify the citizen, mailing address and the city, state or country of residence of the legal representative.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fentiman et al. (Br. J. Surg, 75, 845-846, 1988) in view of Pujol et al. (Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 36, 493-498, 1995).

Fentiman teaches a method of treatment of mastalgia comprising oral administration of 10 or 20 mg of tamoxifen to patients with either cyclical or non-cyclical breast pain (see Abstract, p 845, col. 2, lines 10-12).

Art Unit: 1617

The reference does not teach a method of percutaneous administration of 4hydroxy tamoxifen in the treatment of mastalgia.

Pujol et al. teaches a percutaneous administration of 0.5 mg, 1.0 mg, 2.0 mg of 4-hydroxy tamoxifen in a hydroalcoholic gel to breast areas for the treatment of breast cancer (see Abstract, p 494, study design). The reference further teaches that 4-hydroxy tamoxifen is an active metabolite of tamoxifen (p 497, col. 1, line 18). Pujol et al. do not explicitly teach 4-hydroxy tamoxifen to be a racemic mixture but it is obvious that the compound has both the cis and trans isomers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use 4-hydroxy tamoxifen in the treatment of mastalgia. The motivation to do so is provided by Pujol et al. The reference teaches that 4-OH-tamoxifen is an active metabolite of tamoxifen and has 100-1000 fold stronger affinity to estrogen receptors compared to tamoxifen and the reference further teaches 4-OH-tamoxifen to be one of the most potent anti-estrogens and the compound penetrates through the skin. The reference also teaches that 4-OH-tamoxifen gel administration is associated with low systemic effects yet induces moderate breast tissue concentration.

Fentiman et al. and Pujol et al. do not teach administration of 0.75mg/breast of 4-OH-tamoxifen to patients but Pujol teaches administration of 4-OH-tamoxifen (0.5 mg and 1.0 mg/breast) to patients.

The examiner respectfully points out the following from MPEP 2144.05: "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220

Art Unit: 1617

F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); see also Peterson, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382 ("The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages."); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed.Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fentiman et al. (Br. J. Surg, 75, 845-846, 1988) in view of Pujol (Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 36, 493-498, 1995) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-8,10,11 above and further in view of Kochinke et al. (U.S. 5,613,958).

The teachings of Fentiman et al. (Br. J. Surg, 75, 845-846, 1988) in view of Pujol et al. (Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 36, 493-498, 1995) have been discussed in the 103(a) rejection set forth above.

Fentiman et al. and Pujol et al. do not teach the hydroalcoholic gel comprising ethanol, isopropyl myristate and hydroxypropyl cellulose.

Kochinke et al. teaches a transdermal drug delivery system comprising a drug, plasticizer-type enhancer such as isopropyl myristate, a solvent-type enhancer such as ethanol and a gelling agent such as hydroxypropyl cellulose (col. 9, lines 23-25, 47-59, col. 11, lines 6-25).

Art Unit: 1617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a combination of isopropyl myristate, ethanol, and hydroxypropyl cellulose as a hydroalcoholic gel solution in the percutaneous delivery of 4-OH tamoxifen. The motivation to do so is provided by Kochinke et al. The reference teaches that solvent-type enhancer such as ethanol provide higher flux rate, plasticizer-type enhancer such as isopropyl myristate is used in combination with a solvent-type enhancer to deliver drugs through stratum corneum at therapeutically effective levels and to eliminate the irritation that occurs when solvent-type enhancers are used alone at high concentrations. In addition the reference teaches that a gelling agent such as hydroxypropylcellulose is added to increase the viscosity and rheological characteristics of the drug and enhancers.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fentiman et al. (Br. J. Surg, 75, 845-846, 1988) in view of Pujol et al. (Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 36, 493-498, 1995) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-8,10,11 above and further in view of Jarvis et al. (Cancer Research, 46, 1521-1525, 1986).

The teachings of Fentiman et al. (Br. J. Surg, 75, 845-846, 1988) in view of Pujol et al. (Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 36, 493-498, 1995) have been discussed in the 103(a) rejection set forth above.

Fentiman et al. and Pujol et al. do not teach percutaneous administration of trans 4-hydroxy tamoxifen in the treatment of mastalgia.

Malet teaches percutaneous administration of trans 4-hydroxy tamoxifen to human breast of patients (see Abstract). The reference further teaches that trans-4-hydroxy tamoxifen is a very active metabolite of tamoxifen.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,640 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use trans 4-hydroxy tamoxifen for the treatment of mastalgia. The motivation to do so is provided by Malet et al. The reference teaches that trans-4-hydroxy tamoxifen is a very active metabolite of tamoxifen. The reference further teaches that cis-4-hydroxy tamoxifen exerts a potent estrogenic agonistic effect and a percutaneous administration of trans 4-hydroxy tamoxifen could produce a strong antiestrogenic effect at the molecular level.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umamaheswari Ramachandran whose telephone number is 571-272-9926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

. . .

SPEENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER